

Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

**EP 0 854 429 A2**

(12)

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:  
22.07.1998 Bulletin 1998/30

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>6</sup>: **G06F 17/50**

(21) Application number: **97309006.1**

(22) Date of filing: **10.11.1997**

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC  
NL PT SE**  
Designated Extension States:  
**AL LT LV MK RO SI**

(30) Priority: **16.01.1997 GB 9700798**


(71) Applicant:  
**INTERNATIONAL COMPUTERS LIMITED**  
Putney, London, SW15 1SW (GB)

(72) Inventor: **Rayner, James Edward**  
Reddish, Stockport SK5 6DW (GB)

(74) Representative:  
**Guyatt, Derek Charles et al**  
Intellectual Property Department  
International Computers Limited  
Cavendish Road  
Stevenage, Herts, SG1 2DY (GB)

(54) **Digital system simulation**

(57) A simulator for a digital system comprises a simulation model, an event queue for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model at specified times, and a separate delta queue, for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model that are to take place instantaneously. The use of separate event and delta queues facilitates optimization of the queuing. The simulation model comprises a number of replaceable parts, each of which contains and is responsible for managing its own state information. The event and delta queues contain references to the parts of the model for which changes of state are scheduled, without containing the actual values of those changes of state.



**FIG.1**

**EP 0 854 429 A2**

## Description

### Background to the Invention

This invention relates to simulation of digital systems. The invention is particularly, although not exclusively, concerned with simulating complex logic networks, such as are found in electronic computers.

Conventional simulators use a queue to schedule changes to the state of the simulated system. Whenever it is determined that the state is to change, a record containing the new state value is added to the appropriate position of the queue. In operation, the queue is scanned sequentially, to simulate the passage of time and, as each record is scanned, the new state values specified by that record are set.

The VHDL simulation language [ANSI/IEEE Standard 1076] uses signals to propagate changes of state around the simulation model. Signals are classified as unresolved and resolved. Unresolved signals are those that have only one driving source, while resolved signals have more than one driving source. A resolution process is used to handle resolved signals, so as to resolve the values from the different sources.

Unresolved signals may be either event-based or delta-based. Event-based signals have explicit time delays associated with them. Delta-based signals, on the other hand, represent changes that are assumed to take place instantaneously; i.e. they do not have any explicit time delays associated with them. Delta-based signals are used to ensure order independence for concurrent processes, and to ensure that changes to the signal value take place only when all the concurrent processes have completed their execution for the current time unit. In the case of an event-based signal, a record is added to the queue at the appropriate time position, taking account of the time delay for that signal. In the case of a delta-based signal, a record is added at the position in the queue corresponding to the current simulation time.

Conventional VHDL simulators use a centralised kernel to control the operation of the simulation model. Such a kernel requires a fixed data format, to which all data types used in the simulation must conform, to represent changes of state of the model, and requires a range of generalised routines for handling all these changes.

A problem is that when the simulated network is large and complex, the simulation can be very slow and hence take a very long time to run. Also, the complexity of the kernel is a problem, if it is required to maintain a high level of performance while enabling the kernel to deal with each data type in whatever form. Every adjustment to the kernel becomes performance-critical, and some data types cannot be added for fear of losing simulation performance.

The object of the present invention is to provide a way of improving the performance of a simulation sys-

tem, so as to increase the speed of simulation.

### Summary of the Invention

According to the invention a simulator for simulating a digital system comprises a simulation model, an event queue for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model at specified times, and a separate delta queue, for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model that are to take place instantaneously.

It will be shown that the use of separate event and delta queues in this way makes it possible to perform a number of optimizations that would not be possible in a conventional simulator with a single queue for both events and deltas.

Preferably, the simulation model comprises a number of replaceable parts, each of which contains its own state information and is responsible for managing its own state information, and the event and delta queues contain references to the parts of the model for which changes of state are scheduled, without containing the actual values of those changes of state.

It will be shown that this makes possible a number of further optimizations that would not be possible in a conventional simulator with a centralised kernel.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a schematic block diagram of a computer system embodying a simulator in accordance with the invention.

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a simulation model.

Figure 3 is a flow chart of a top-level model used in the simulator.

Figure 4 is a flow chart of a pop\_deltas() function.

Figure 5 is a flow chart of a pop\_events() function.

Figure 6 is a flow chart of an entry() function.

Figure 7 is a flow chart of a send\_event() function.

Figure 8 is a flow chart of a fire\_event() function.

Figure 9 is a schematic diagram of part of the simulator.

### Description of an Embodiment of the Invention

One simulator in accordance with the invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings.

### Overview of the simulator

Referring to Figure 1, the simulator comprises a general-purpose computer 10, which contains a number of software components, including a simulation model 11, a top-level model 12, an event queue 13, and a delta queue 14.

The simulation model 11 simulates a specific logic network. The top-level model 12 provides a framework

for running the simulation model, and is generic to a range of simulation models.

The event and delta queues 13, 14 are used for scheduling changes to the state of the model. The event queue schedules changes that are to take place at specific times, while the delta queue schedules changes that are assumed to take place instantaneously.

The simulator uses two forms of simulated time: event time and delta time. Event time is measured in time units such as nanoseconds. Delta time is simply an integer which is incremented each time the delta queue is processed, i.e. each time a `pop_deltas()` function (see below) is executed.

### Simulation model

Figure 2 shows a simplified example of a simulation model 11. The model comprises a number of process objects P1 - P6 and signal objects S1 - S7, each of which represents a changeable part of the simulation model. Each object has a state, represented by one or more data structures, and a behaviour, represented by a number of functions, as will be described. Each of these objects is created as an instance of a generalised class. It will be appreciated that, in general, simulation models will be much more complex than that shown in this drawing, and will include many more objects.

The process objects represent specific functional parts of the simulated logic network, such as VHDL processes. The signal objects represent signals between these parts. A process object may change the state of one or more signal objects: in Figure 2 for example, process object P1 changes the state of signal object S1. A process object may, in turn, be dependent on (driven by) one or more signal objects: in Figure 2 for example, P2 is driven by S1. It is also possible for one process object to directly call another process object, without any intervening signal object.

In general, many of the signal objects do not drive any process objects. These signal objects serve only as state holders, for storing state values, rather than to link one process object to another. In this example, S2, S6 and S7 do not drive any process objects.

A simulation model may also include other types of object, in addition to process objects and signal objects. For example, the model may include a combined process/signal object which represents both a process and a signal. This might be used, for example, to simulate a state machine or a clocked process.

### Split processes

Each signal object may directly drive only one process object at most. If the model requires a particular signal to drive more than one process, a split process is introduced between the signal object and the dependent process objects. In Figure 2 for example, the split process SP1 allows the signal object S3 to drive three

dependent process objects: P1, P3 and P4. This restriction improves the efficiency of the model, since it avoids the need for complex code to handle the driving of a number of processes. Also, it reduces the number of queuing operations since it means that only a single queuing operation is required, rather than one for each of the dependent process objects.

A split process may simply contain a sequence of calls to the `entry()` functions of each of its dependent process objects. For example, the split process SP1 in Figure 2 may consist of calls to the `entry()` functions of P1, P3 and P4. A split process may also perform some processing. For example, a split process may test the value of a particular signal, such as a clock signal, and call different functions according to whether that signal is TRUE or FALSE.

### Process Objects

A typical process object P has a state, represented by one or more data structures. These data structures may represent internal state data, i.e. the variables of the process.

A typical process object also comprises two functions:

`init()`: an initialisation function.

`entry()`: an entry function.

### Signal Objects

A typical signal object S comprises the following state data:

`old_state`: the old state of the signal object.

`new_state`: the new state of the signal object.

`altered`: a flag indicating whether or not the state of the signal object has been altered.

`proc_ptr`: a pointer to the process object (if any) that is driven by this signal object. For example, in Figure 2, the `proc_ptr` of S1 points to P2. If the signal object does not drive any process object, this pointer is null.

`last_delta_time`: the last delta time value for which a change to this signal object has been scheduled on the delta queue.

`last_event_time`: the last event time value for which a change to this signal object has been scheduled on the event queue.

In addition, a typical signal object contains the following functions:

init(): initialises the old\_state of the signal object, either to a user-specified value, or to a default value. The init() function also initialises the various flags in the signal object, and the last delta and event times.

change\_state(): sets the old\_state value of the signal object to the new\_state value.

send\_event(): schedules a change to the state of the signal object, by placing a record on the delta queue or the event queue (depending on whether the signal object is delta based or event based).

fire\_event(): calls the entry() function of the dependent process specified by proc\_ptr.

Resolved signals (i.e. signals with more than one driving source) are represented by signal objects similar to those for unresolved signals. However, the fire\_event() function is more complex in the case of a resolved signal, since it is required to make calls backwards, as well as forwards, to ensure that all process objects sensitive to changes of the resolved signal are called.

### Event queue

The event queue 13 comprises a linked list of records, each of which represents a scheduled change of state of a particular signal object. Each event record contains the following fields:

Reference: a pointer to a signal object.

Event\_time: the event time at which the referenced signal object is scheduled to change its state. The records in the event queue are maintained in order of increasing event\_time value.

Next: a pointer to the next record in the event queue. If this is the last record in the queue, the pointer is null.

The event queue has two functions associated with it:

push\_event(reference, event\_time): adds a new event record at appropriate position in the event queue, according to the value of event\_time. The parameters (reference, event\_time) determine the reference and event\_time fields of the new event record.

pop\_events(): processes records on the event queue.

### Delta queue

The delta queue comprises a linked list of records, each of which represents a scheduled change of state of a particular signal object. The changes scheduled on the delta queue are assumed to take place instantaneously; i.e. they do not have any explicit time delays associated with them.

Each record in the delta queue contains the following fields:

Reference: a pointer to a signal object.

Next: a pointer to the next record in the delta queue. If this is the last record in the queue, the pointer is null.

The delta queue has two functions associated with it:

push\_delta(reference): adds a new delta record to the delta queue. The parameter determines the reference field of the new delta record. The new record is always added to the end of the delta queue.

pop\_deltas(): processes records on the delta queue.

It should be noted that the event and delta queues do not hold the actual values of the changes of state; instead, they simply hold references to the signal object whose state is scheduled to change. It is the responsibility of the signal objects to handle their own changes of state in the most efficient way.

### Top-level model

The top-level model 12 will now be described with reference to Figure 3.

(Step 301) The top-level model first loads the simulation model, and calls the init() function of each process in the model, so as to set up the required data structures for each process.

(Step 302) The top-level model then selects the optimum form of event and delta queues for the model, and configures each queue to this optimum form.

Selection of the form of event queue is based on information obtained during the initialisation. This information includes the number of event-based signals in the model, and the smallest time delay unit used in the model, and the range of time delays. The following options are available for the form of event queue:

- No event queue: this is chosen if the model does

not contain any event-based signal objects. In this case therefore, there is no event queue overhead.

- Single event queue: this is chosen if the model contains only one event-based signal object, and this signal object holds only one state change at a time. This is the fastest form of queue, since no insertion operation is required. 5
- Multiple event queue: this option is chosen when the model contains more than one event-based signal object, or contains a single event-based signal object that can contain more than one state change, and when the range of time delays is relatively small. 10
- Multiple event, large time base range queue: this is the slowest form of queue, but is capable of handling a relatively large range of time delays. 15

(Step 303) An initial set of delta records is inserted on the delta queue. 20

(Step 304) These initial delta records are then popped off the delta queue, so as to perform an initial setting of the signal values. This uses a pop\_initialisation\_deltas() function. 25

(Step 305) Each process object in the model is initialised, by calling its entry() function. This may result in further deltas being generated and inserted on the delta queue for the next delta time. 30

(Step 306) The current event time and current delta time are both reset to zero. 35

(Steps 307 - 309) The top-level model then enters a main loop, in which the pop\_events() function and the pop\_deltas() function are called alternately. This loop continues until either the event queue becomes empty, or until the simulation time reaches a specified simulation end time. 40

#### Pop\_deltas()

The pop\_deltas() function will now be described with reference to Figure 4. 45

(Step 401) If the delta queue is empty, the function returns. Otherwise, it proceeds to step 402. 50

(Step 402) A queue record pointer is set to the first record in the delta queue.

(Step 403 - 405) A loop is performed, in which each record in the delta queue is selected in turn. For each of these records, the change\_state() function of the signal object referenced by this record is 55

called. The change\_state() function updates the state of the referenced signal object, by setting its old\_state value equal to its new\_state value. This loop is repeated until the record pointer is null, indicating that the last record in the delta queue has been reached.

(Step 406) The queue record pointer is reset to the first record in the delta queue.

(Steps 407 - 409) A loop is performed in which each record in the delta queue is selected in turn. The selected record is removed from the delta queue, and the fire\_event() function of the signal object referenced by this record is called. This loop is repeated until all the current records in the delta queue have been removed.

The fire\_event() function may result in further delta records being created and added to the end of the delta queue. These new delta records are not processed during this run of the pop\_deltas() function; they are processed at the next delta time (i.e. during the next run of the pop\_deltas() function). 20

The pop\_initialisation\_deltas() function is similar to the pop\_deltas function, except that steps 406-409 are omitted. Hence, in this case, the fire\_event() function is not called, and so no propagation of signals takes place. 25

#### Pop\_events()

The pop\_events() function will now be described with reference to Figure 5.

(Step 501) If the event queue is empty, the function returns. Otherwise, it proceeds to step 502. 35

(Step 502) A check is made to determine whether event\_time value of the first record on the event queue is past (i.e. greater than) the specified simulation end time. If so, the function returns. 40

(Step 503) A queue record pointer is set to point to the first record in the event queue, and the current event time is set equal to the event\_time value of this record. 45

(Steps 504 - 506) A loop is performed in which each record on the event queue is selected in turn. For each of these records, the change\_state() function of the signal object referenced by the record is called. The change\_state() function updates the state of the referenced signal object, by setting its the old\_state value equal to its new\_state value. This loop is repeated until the event\_time value of the selected record is greater than current event time. 50

(Step 507) The queue record pointer is then reset to the first record in the event queue.

(Steps 508 - 510) A loop is performed in which each record in the event queue is selected in turn. The selected record is removed from the event queue, and the `fire_event()` function of the signal object referenced by this record is called. This loop is repeated until the `event_time` value of the selected record is greater than current event time.

### Entry() function

Each process object has its own `entry()` function, specific to that process object. Figure 6 shows the `entry()` function of a typical process object.

(Step 601) The function reads the `old_state` values of any input signal objects, performs user-defined processing operations, and updates the `new_state` value of any output signal objects. For example, in Figure 2, the process object P2 reads the `old_state` value of S1, and updates the `new_state` value of S2. The updating of the `new_state` value sets the altered flag of the signal object to TRUE.

It should be noted the `old_state` of the output signal object is not updated at this stage. Hence, any other process objects that are fired at the same delta time (or event time) will still use the same `old_state` value. The `old_state` values are not updated until the next run of the `pop_deltas()` or `pop_events()` function, as described above.

(Step 602) After completion of all user-defined processing operations, the `entry()` function calls the `send_event()` function of every signal object whose state it may possibly have updated during the processing.

### Send\_event()

Figure 7 shows the `send_event()` function of a typical signal object. It is assumed in the following description that the signal object is event-based.

(Step 701) The function first checks whether the altered flag of the signal object is TRUE. If so, the function proceeds to step 702, otherwise, it returns.

(Step 702) The value of the altered flag for the signal object is reset to FALSE.

(Step 703) The function then checks whether the `new_state` value of the signal object is equal to its `old_state` value. If so, the function returns.

(Step 704) The function then checks whether a

change to the signal object has already been scheduled on the event queue for exactly the same time as the change currently being processed. This step involves checking whether `event_time` (i.e. the event time at which the signal is scheduled to change) is greater than the value of `last_event_time` for this signal object. If not, then a change has already been scheduled, and no further action is taken by this function.

(Step 705) Assuming that all the above checks are satisfactory, the value of `last_event_time` for the signal object is set equal to `event_time`. The change is then scheduled, by calling `push_event(this, event_time)`, where "this" represents a pointer to this signal object. This adds a new record to the appropriate position in the event queue.

It can be seen that the tests described above ensure that a signal is queued only if its values have changed and if a change for that signal has not already been queued. This reduces the number of queuing operations, and hence helps to improve the running speed of the model.

As stated, the above description of Figure 7 assumes that the signal object is event-based. If it were delta-based, Steps 74 and 75 would be replaced by step 704a as follows.

(Step 704a) The change is scheduled, by calling `push_delta(this)`, where "this" represents a pointer to this signal object. This adds a new record to the end of the delta queue.

### Fire\_event()

Figure 8 shows the `fire_event()` function of a typical signal object.

(Step 801) The function first checks whether the `proc_ptr` for the signal object is null. If `proc_ptr` is null, this means that the signal object does not have any dependent process objects, and so no further action is taken by this function.

(Step 802) Assuming that `proc_ptr` is non-null, the `entry()` function of the dependent process pointed to by `proc_ptr` is called.

### Operation

The operation of the simulator will now be illustrated, with reference to Figure 9, which shows process objects P1, P2, signal object S1, and the event queue. It is assumed that S1 is event-based.

When the `entry()` function of P1 runs, it updates the `new_state` value of its output signal S1. It then calls the `send_event()` function of S1, after it has performed any

other user-defined processing.

The send\_event() function calls the push\_event() function of the event queue, which causes an event record to be added to the event queue, for a specified event time

When the specified event time arrives, the pop\_events() function removes this record from the event queue, and calls the change\_state() function of S1. This causes the old\_state of S1 to be set equal to its new\_state. Pop\_events then calls the fire\_event() function of S1. This calls the entry() function of the dependent process object P2

In summary, it can be seen that the effect of this is that a signal is communicated from P1 to P2, with a specified delay

### Discussion

An important feature of the simulation technique described above is the use of separate event and delta queues. The two different forms of time, delta time and event time, have different forms of queuing action as well as different optimizations, and so the use of separate event and delta queues makes it much easier to optimize the queuing actions. For example, the form of the event queue can be optimized as described above.

Another important feature of the simulation technique is that each changeable part of the simulation model is implemented as a separate object, and each object is responsible for handling its own changes of state. As a result the state of each part can be held in an optimum format, rather than having to use a standard format, as is required in conventional kernel-based simulators. Moreover, the routines needed to change the state of a model part can be specifically designed for that part, rather than relying on a generalised routine in a kernel. This allows a large number of discrete optimizations to be applied to the individual model parts so as to increase the speed of the simulation.

Since each part of the model controls its own state, the queues do not need to hold the actual values of the changes of state, instead, they can simply hold references to the model parts whose states are scheduled to change. This greatly simplifies the queuing actions, since values do not have to be copied to and from store, and complex data structures can be dealt with by their owning parts, rather than by generalised queuing routines. This leads to further improvements in efficiency.

### Some possible modifications

It will be appreciated that many modifications may be made to the system described above without departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, the queues may be based on timing loops, as described in our US Patent no 5157620, rather than being constructed as linked lists.

### Claims

1. A simulator for simulating a digital system, the simulator comprising a simulation model, an event queue for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model at specified times, characterised by a separate delta queue, for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model that are to take place instantaneously.
2. A simulator according to Claim 1 wherein the simulation model comprises a number of replaceable parts, each of which contains its own state information and is responsible for managing its own state information.
3. A simulator according to Claim 2 wherein the event and delta queues contain references to the parts of the model for which changes of state are scheduled, without containing the actual values of those changes of state.
4. A simulator according to Claim 2 or 3 wherein the parts of the model include process objects and signal objects.
5. A simulator according to Claim 4 wherein each of the signal objects directly drives at most one of the process objects, and including at least one split process positioned between a signal object and a plurality of process objects, for allowing that signal object to drive the plurality of process objects indirectly.
6. A simulator according to any preceding claim including means for selecting an optimum form of said event queue.
7. A simulator according to any preceding claim including means for checking that a state value has actually changed, and that this change of state has not already been added to the event queue or delta queue, before adding that change to the event queue or delta queue.




FIG.1




FIG.2






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG.9

This Page Blank (uspto)

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 854 429 A3

# EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(12)

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup>: G06F 17/50

(88) Date of publication A3:  
19.07.2000 Bulletin 2000/29

(43) Date of publication A2:  
22.07.1998 Bulletin 1998/30

(21) Application number: 97309006.1

(22) Date of filing: 10.11.1997

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC  
NL PT SE  
Designated Extension States:  
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: 16.01.1997 GB 9700798

(71) Applicant:  
INTERNATIONAL COMPUTERS LIMITED  
Putney, London, SW15 1SW (GB)

(72) Inventor: Rayner, James Edward  
Reddish, Stockport SK5 6DW (GB)

(74) Representative:  
Guyatt, Derek Charles et al  
International Computers Limited  
Intellectual Property Department  
Cavendish Road  
Stevenage, Herts, SG1 2DY (GB)

## (54) Digital system simulation

(57) A simulator for a digital system comprises a simulation model, an event queue for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model at specified times, and a separate delta queue, for scheduling changes to the state of the simulation model that are to take place instantaneously. The use of separate event and delta queues facilitates optimization of the queuing. The simulation model comprises a number of replaceable parts, each of which contains and is responsible for managing its own state information. The event and delta queues contain references to the parts of the model for which changes of state are scheduled, without containing the actual values of those changes of state.




FIG.1

EP 0 854 429 A3

European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 97 30 9006

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 147 (P-1336), 13 April 1992 (1992-04-13) & JP 04 003229 A (FUJITSU LTD), 8 January 1992 (1992-01-08) * abstract *	1
A	BAHNSEN R J ET AL: "SCHEDULING PROCESS FOR INTERACTIVE, FUNCTIONAL-LEVEL SIMULATION" IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN, US, IBM CORP. NEW YORK, vol. 27, no. 1A, 1 June 1984 (1984-06-01), pages 442-445, XP000715120 ISSN: 0018-8689 * page 442, line 2 - line 5 * * page 443, line 12 - line 16 * * page 444, line 32 - line 34 *	1
		CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)  G06F17/50
		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)  G06F
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims		
Place of search <b>THE HAGUE</b>	Date of completion of the search <b>26 May 2000</b>	Examiner <b>Nicoli, F</b>
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document		

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)



**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT  
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 97 30 9006

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on  
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

26-05-2000

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
JP 04003229 A	08-01-1992	JP 2507663 B	12-06-1996

EPO FORM P0489

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

This Page Blank (ustf

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☒ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**

**This Page Blank (uspto)**